arXiv:1405.5423v2 [math.NT] 8 Oct 2014

On some Fricke families and application to the Lang-Schertz conjecture

Ho Yun Jung, Ja Kyung Koo and Dong Hwa Shin*

Abstract

We first investigate two kinds of Fricke families consisting of Fricke functions and Siegel functions, respectively. And, in terms of their special values we generate ray class fields of imaginary quadratic fields, which is related to the Lang-Schertz conjecture.

1 Introduction

For a positive integer N, let $\mathcal{F}_N$ be the field of all meromorphic modular functions of level N whose Fourier coefficients lie in the N-th cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ with $\zeta_N = e^{2\pi i/N}$. Then it is well-known that $\mathcal{F}_1$ is generated over $\mathbb{Q}$ by the elliptic modular function

$$j(\tau) = 1/q + 744 + 196884q + 21493760q^2 + \cdots \quad (\tau \in \mathbb{H}, \text{ the complex upper half plane}),$$

where $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{F}_N$ is a Galois extension of $\mathcal{F}_1$ whose Galois group is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I_2\}$ ([7, §6.1–6.2]).

For $N \geq 2$ we let

$$\mathcal{V}_N = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \mid \mathbf{v} \text{ has primitive denominator } N\}$$

, that is, $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Q}^2$ belongs to $\mathcal{V}_N$ if and only if N is the smallest positive integer satisfying $N\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. We call a family $\{h_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)\}_{\mathbf{v}\in\mathcal{V}_N}$ of functions in $\mathcal{F}_N$ a *Fricke family of level N* if

(F1) $h_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)$ is weakly holomorphic (namely, $h_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)$ is holomorphic on $\mathbb{H}$),

(F2) $h_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)$ depends only on $\pm\mathbf{v} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^2}$,

(F3) $h_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)^{\gamma} = h_{{}^t\gamma\mathbf{v}}(\tau)$ for all $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I_2\} \simeq \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_N/\mathcal{F}_1)$, where ${}^t\gamma$ indicates the transpose of γ.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11G15, Secondary 11F03.

Key words and phrases. Complex multiplication, Fricke families, modular functions.

*The corresponding author was supported by Hankuk University of Foreign Studies Research Fund of 2014.

In this paper, we shall deal with two kinds of Fricke families $\{f_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)\}_{\mathbf{v}\in\mathcal{V}_N}$ and $\{g_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)^{12N}\}_{\mathbf{v}\in\mathcal{V}_N}$; one consisting of Fricke functions and the other consisting of $12N$-th powers of Siegel functions (§2).

Let K be an imaginary quadratic field of discriminant d_K other than $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, and $\mathfrak{n}$ be a proper nontrivial ideal of the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$ of K. Furthermore, let N (≥ 2) be the smallest positive integer in $\mathfrak{n}$ and C be a ray class in the ray class group $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{n})$ of K modulo $\mathfrak{n}$. For a Fricke family $\{h_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)\}_{\mathbf{v}\in\mathcal{V}_N}$ of level N, we shall define in §3 the *Fricke invariant* $h_{\mathfrak{n}}(C)$ which depends only on $\mathfrak{n}$ and C. Then the first main theorem of this paper asserts that $f_{\mathfrak{n}}(C)$ generates the ray class field $K_{\mathfrak{n}}$ of K modulo $\mathfrak{n}$ over the Hilbert class field H_K of K (Theorem 3.2).

On the other hand, Lang ([4, p.292]) and Schertz ([6]) conjectured that $g_{\mathfrak{n}}^{12N}(C)$, which is called the *Siegel-Ramachandra invariant* modulo $\mathfrak{n}$ at C, generates $K_{\mathfrak{n}}$ over H_K (even, over K). Recently, Cho ([1]) gave a conditional proof by adopting Schertz's idea and using the second Kronecker limit formula as follows: Let $\mathfrak{n}=\prod_{k=1}^{r}\mathfrak{p}_k^{e_k}$ be the prime ideal factorization of $\mathfrak{n}$. If the exponent of the quotient group $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}_k^{e_k})^\times/\{\alpha+\mathfrak{p}_k^{e_k}\mid \alpha\in\mathcal{O}_K^\times\}$ is greater than 2 for every $k=1,\dots,r$, then $g_{\mathfrak{n}}^{12N}(C)$ generates $K_{\mathfrak{n}}$ over K.

As the second main theorem we shall present a new conditional proof of the Lang-Schertz conjecture (Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.3). We shall further show that the $6N$-th root, which will give a relatively small power, of a certain quotient of Siegel-Ramachandra invariants generates $K_{\mathfrak{n}}$ over H_K when $d_K\equiv N\equiv 0 \pmod 4$, $|d_K|\geq 4N^{4/3}$ and $\mathfrak{n}=N\mathcal{O}_K$ (Theorem 6.2). To this end, we shall find some relations between Fricke and Siegel functions (Lemma 2.5), and make use of an explicit version of Shimura's reciprocity law due to Stevenhagen (Proposition 5.2). And, we note that these invariants have minimal polynomials with (relatively) small coefficients (Example 6.4).

2 Fricke families

Let Λ be a lattice in $\mathbb{C}$. The *Weierstrass $\wp$-function* relative to Λ is defined by

$$\wp(z;\Lambda)=1/z^2+\sum_{\omega\in\Lambda\setminus\{0\}}(1/(z-\omega)^2-1/\omega^2)\quad(z\in\mathbb{C}).$$

Then it is a meromorphic function on z and is periodic with respect to Λ.

LEMMA 2.1. *If $z_1,z_2\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\Lambda$, then $\wp(z_1;\Lambda)=\wp(z_2;\Lambda)$ if and only if $z_1\equiv\pm z_2 \pmod\Lambda$.*

PROOF. See [8, Chaper IV, §3]. □

Let N (≥ 2) be an integer and $\mathbf{v}=\begin{bmatrix}v_1\\ v_2\end{bmatrix}\in\mathcal{V}_N$. We define

$$\wp_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)=\wp(v_1\tau+v_2;[\tau,1])\quad(\tau\in\mathbb{H}),\tag{1}$$

which is a weakly holomorphic modular form of level N and weight 2 ([4, Chapter 6]). We further define auxiliary functions $g_2(\tau)$, $g_3(\tau)$ and $\Delta(\tau)$ on $\mathbb{H}$ by

$$g_2(\tau) = 60 \sum_{\omega \in [\tau,1]\setminus\{0\}} 1/\omega^4, \quad g_3(\tau) = 140 \sum_{\omega \in [\tau,1]\setminus\{0\}} 1/\omega^6 \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(\tau) = g_2(\tau)^3 - 27 g_3(\tau)^2,$$

which are holomorphic modular forms of level 1 and weight 4, 6 and 12, respectively ([4, Chapter 3, §2]). Now, we define the *Fricke function* (or, the *first Weber function*) $f_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)$ by

$$f_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau) = -2^7 3^5 (g_2(\tau) g_3(\tau)/\Delta(\tau)) \wp_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau) \quad (\tau \in \mathbb{H}). \tag{2}$$

PROPOSITION 2.2. *The family* $\{f_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)\}_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}_N}$ *is a Fricke family of level* N.

PROOF. See [4, Chapter 6, §2–3]. □

The *Weierstrass* σ*-function* relative to Λ is defined by

$$\sigma(z;\Lambda) = z \prod_{\omega \in \Lambda\setminus\{0\}} (1 - z/\omega) e^{z/\omega + (1/2)(z/\omega)^2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Taking logarithmic derivative we come up with the *Weierstrass* ζ*-function* as

$$\zeta(z;\Lambda) = \sigma'(z;\Lambda)/\sigma(z;\Lambda) = 1/z + \sum_{\omega \in \Lambda\setminus\{0\}} (1/(z-\omega) + 1/\omega + z/\omega^2).$$

Since $\zeta'(z;\Lambda) = -\wp(z;\Lambda)$ is periodic with respect to Λ, for any $\omega \in \Lambda$ there is a constant $\eta(\omega;\Lambda)$ so that

$$\zeta(z+\omega;\Lambda) - \zeta(z;\Lambda) = \eta(\omega;\Lambda).$$

Next, we define the *Siegel function* $g_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)$ by

$$g_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau) = e^{-(1/2)(v_1 \eta(\tau;[\tau,1]) + v_2 \eta(1;[\tau,1]))(v_1\tau + v_2)} \sigma(v_1\tau + v_2; [\tau,1]) \eta(\tau)^2 \quad (\tau \in \mathbb{H}),$$

where

$$\eta(\tau) = \sqrt{2\pi}\zeta_8 q^{1/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n) \quad (q = e^{2\pi i \tau}, \tau \in \mathbb{H})$$

is the *Dedekind* η*-function*. By the product formula of the Weierstrass σ-function we get the q-product expression

$$g_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau) = -e^{\pi i v_2 (v_1 - 1)} q^{(1/2)\mathbf{B}_2(v_1)} (1 - q^{v_1} e^{2\pi i v_2}) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{n+v_1} e^{2\pi i v_2})(1 - q^{n - v_1} e^{-2\pi i v_2}), \tag{3}$$

where $\mathbf{B}_2(X) = X^2 - X + 1/6$ is the second Bernoulli polynomial ([4, Chapter 18, Theorem 4 and Chapter 19, §2]). Furthermore, we have the q-order formula

$$\mathrm{ord}_q(g_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)) = (1/2)\mathbf{B}_2(\langle v_1 \rangle), \tag{4}$$

where $\langle X \rangle$ is the fractional part of $X \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $0 \le \langle X \rangle < 1$ ([3, Chapter 2, §1]).

LEMMA 2.3. *Let* $\{m(\mathbf{v})\}_{\mathbf{v}=\left[\begin{smallmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \in \mathcal{V}_N}$ *be a family of integers such that* $m(\mathbf{v}) = 0$ *except for finitely many* $\mathbf{v}$. *If the family satisfies*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{v}} m(\mathbf{v})(Nv_1)^2 \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{v}} m(\mathbf{v})(Nv_2)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{\gcd(2, N) \cdot N},$$
$$\sum_{\mathbf{v}} m(\mathbf{v})(Nv_1)(Nv_2) \equiv 0 \pmod{N},$$
$$\gcd(12, N) \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{v}} m(\mathbf{v}) \equiv 0 \pmod{12},$$

then $\zeta \prod_{\mathbf{v}} g_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)^{m(\mathbf{v})}$ *belongs to* $\mathcal{F}_N$, *where* $\zeta = \prod_{\mathbf{v}} e^{\pi i v_2(1-v_1)m(\mathbf{v})} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2N^2})$.

PROOF. See [3, Chapter 3, Theorems 5.2 and 5.3] and (3). □

PROPOSITION 2.4. *The family* $\{g_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)^{12N}\}_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}_N}$ *is a Fricke family of level* N.

PROOF. See [3, Chapter 2, Proposition 1.3]. □

LEMMA 2.5. *We further obtain the following results on modular functions.*

(i) *If* $h(\tau)$ *is a weakly holomorphic function in* $\mathcal{F}_1$, *then it is a polynomial in* $j(\tau)$ *over* $\mathbb{Q}$.

(ii) *We have* $\mathcal{F}_1(f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)) = \mathcal{F}_1(g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^{12N})$.

(iii) *We get the relation*

$$f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau) = \frac{p(j(\tau), g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^{12N})}{\operatorname{disc}(g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^{12N}, \mathcal{F}_1)} \quad \text{for some polynomial } p(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Q}[X, Y].$$

PROOF. (i) See [4, Chapter 5, Theorem 2].

(ii) Let $L = \mathcal{F}_1(f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau))$ and $R = \mathcal{F}_1(g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^{12N})$, which are intermediate subfields of the extension $\mathcal{F}_N/\mathcal{F}_1$ by Propositions 2.2 and 2.4. Let $\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I_2\} \simeq \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_N/\mathcal{F}_1)$. Then we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_N/L) &\iff f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^{\gamma} = f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau) \\
&\iff f_{{}^t\gamma\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau) = f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau) \quad \text{by Proposition 2.2, (F2) and (F3)} \\
&\iff f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} z/N \\ w/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau) = f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau) \\
&\iff \wp((z/N)\tau + w/N; [\tau, 1]) = \wp(1/N; [\tau, 1]) \quad \text{by the definitions (1) and (2)} \\
&\iff (z/N)\tau + w/N \equiv \pm 1/N \pmod{[\tau, 1]} \quad \text{by Lemma 2.1} \\
&\iff z \equiv 0,\ w \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{N}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_N/L) = \{\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \mid \gamma \equiv \pm \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N}\}/\{\pm I_2\}, \tag{5}$$

and it follows from Proposition 2.4, (F2) and (F3) that every element of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_N/L)$ leaves $g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^{12N}$ fixed. This implies that $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_N/L) \subseteq \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_N/R)$.

Conversely, let $\rho = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_N/R)$. We then derive by Proposition 2.4, (F2) and (F3) that

$$g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}c/N\\d/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^{12N} = g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^{12N}. \tag{6}$$

The action of $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ on both sides of (6) yields

$$g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}d/N\\-c/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^{12N} = g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1/N\\0\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^{12N}. \tag{7}$$

By applying the q-order formula (4) to the expressions (6) and (7) we attain

$$6N\mathbf{B}_2(\langle c/N\rangle) = 6N\mathbf{B}_2(0) \quad \text{and} \quad 6N\mathbf{B}_2(\langle d/N\rangle) = 6N\mathbf{B}_2(1/N).$$

Now, we deduce by the shape of the graph $Y = \mathbf{B}_2(X)$ that

$$c \equiv 0,\ d \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{N}.$$

This, together with (5), shows that $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_N/L) \supseteq \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_N/R)$. Thus we achieve $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_N/L) = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_N/R)$, and hence $L = R$, as desired.

(iii) For simplicity, let $f = f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)$ and $g = g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^{12N}$. By (i) we can express f as

$$f = c_0 + c_1 g + \cdots + c_{\ell-1} g^{\ell-1} \quad \text{for some } c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{\ell-1} \in \mathcal{F}_1,$$

where $\ell = [\mathcal{F}_1(g) : \mathcal{F}_1]$. Multiplying both sides by g^k $(k = 0, 1, \ldots, \ell-1)$ and taking traces $\mathrm{Tr} = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{F}_1(g)/\mathcal{F}_1}$ yields

$$\mathrm{Tr}(fg^k) = c_0\mathrm{Tr}(g^k) + c_1\mathrm{Tr}(g^{k+1}) + \cdots + c_{\ell-1}\mathrm{Tr}(g^{k+\ell-1}).$$

So we obtain a linear system (in unknowns $c_0, c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{\ell-1}$)

$$T\begin{bmatrix} c_0 \\ c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_{\ell-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{Tr}(f) \\ \mathrm{Tr}(fg) \\ \vdots \\ \mathrm{Tr}(fg^{\ell-1}) \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } T = \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{Tr}(1) & \mathrm{Tr}(g) & \cdots & \mathrm{Tr}(g^{\ell-1}) \\ \mathrm{Tr}(g) & \mathrm{Tr}(g^2) & \cdots & \mathrm{Tr}(g^{\ell}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathrm{Tr}(g^{\ell-1}) & \mathrm{Tr}(g^{\ell}) & \cdots & \mathrm{Tr}(g^{2\ell-2}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since f and g are weakly holomorphic by (F1), so are all entries of the augmented matrix of the above linear system. Thus we get by (i) that

$$c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{\ell-1} \in (1/\det(T))\mathbb{Q}[j].$$

On the other hand, let $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_\ell$ be all the zeros of $\min(g, \mathcal{F}_1)$. Then we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(T) &= \begin{vmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} g_k^0 & \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} g_k^1 & \cdots & \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} g_k^{\ell-1} \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} g_k^1 & \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} g_k^2 & \cdots & \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} g_k^{\ell} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} g_k^{\ell-1} & \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} g_k^{\ell} & \cdots & \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} g_k^{2\ell-2} \end{vmatrix} \\
&= \begin{vmatrix} g_1^0 & g_2^0 & \cdots & g_\ell^0 \\ g_1^1 & g_2^1 & \cdots & g_\ell^1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_1^{\ell-1} & g_2^{\ell-1} & \cdots & g_\ell^{\ell-1} \end{vmatrix} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} g_1^0 & g_1^1 & \cdots & g_1^{\ell-1} \\ g_2^0 & g_2^1 & \cdots & g_2^{\ell-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_\ell^0 & g_\ell^1 & \cdots & g_\ell^{\ell-1} \end{vmatrix} \\
&= \prod_{1 \le k_1 < k_2 \le \ell} (g_{k_1} - g_{k_2})^2 \quad \text{by the Vandermonde determinant formula} \\
&= \operatorname{disc}(g, \mathcal{F}_1).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (iii). □

REMARK 2.6. Define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $\mathcal{V}_N$ as follows:

$$\mathbf{u} \sim \mathbf{v} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \mathbf{u} \equiv \pm\mathbf{v} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^2}.$$

Then, in a similar way as in the proof of Lemma 2.5(ii), one can readily show that

$$f_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau) \text{ and } g_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)^{12N} \quad \text{for } \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}_N/\sim$$

represent all the distinct zeros of $\min(f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau), \mathcal{F}_1)$ and $\min(g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^{12N}, \mathcal{F}_1)$, respectively.

3 Generation of class fields

Let K be an imaginary quadratic field and $\mathcal{O}_K$ be its ring of integers. Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be a proper nontrivial ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$, N (≥ 2) be the smallest positive integer in $\mathfrak{n}$ and C be a ray class in the ray class group $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{n})$ of K modulo $\mathfrak{n}$. We take an integral ideal $\mathfrak{c}$ in the class C and let

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{c}^{-1} &= [\omega_1, \omega_2] \quad \text{for some } \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C} \text{ with } \omega = \omega_1/\omega_2 \in \mathbb{H}, \\
1 &= (a/N)\omega_1 + (b/N)\omega_2 \quad \text{for some } a, b \in \mathbb{Z}.
\end{aligned}$$

For a given Fricke family $\{h_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)\}_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}_N}$ of level N, we define the *Fricke invariant* $h_{\mathfrak{n}}(C)$ modulo $\mathfrak{n}$ at C by

$$h_{\mathfrak{n}}(C) = h_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a/N \\ b/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\omega). \tag{8}$$

This value depends only on $\mathfrak{n}$ and C, not on the choices of $\mathfrak{c}$, ω_1 and ω_2 ([3, Chapter 11, §1]).

PROPOSITION 3.1. *The Fricke invariant $h_{\mathfrak{n}}(C)$ lies in the ray class field $K_{\mathfrak{n}}$ of K modulo $\mathfrak{n}$ and satisfies the following transformation formula:*

$$h_{\mathfrak{n}}(C)^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{n}}(C')} = h_{\mathfrak{n}}(CC') \quad \textit{for any class } C' \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{n}),$$

where $\sigma_{\mathfrak{n}} : \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{n}) \to \mathrm{Gal}(K_{\mathfrak{n}}/K)$ *is the Artin reciprocity map. Furthermore, the algebraic number* $g_{\mathfrak{n}}^{12N}(C)/g_{\mathfrak{n}}^{12N}(C')$ *is a unit.*

PROOF. See [3, Chapter 11, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2]. □

THEOREM 3.2. *Assume that* K *is different from* $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ *and* $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$*. Then the first Fricke invariant* $f_{\mathfrak{n}}(C)$ *generates* $K_{\mathfrak{n}}$ *over the Hilbert class field* H_K *of* K*.*

PROOF. Let C_0 be the identity class of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{n})$. Since $K_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is a finite abelian extension of K, it suffices to show that $f_{\mathfrak{n}}(C_0)$ generates $K_{\mathfrak{n}}$ over H_K. Let

$$\begin{aligned} I_K(\mathfrak{n}) &= \text{the group of fractional ideals of } K \text{ prime to } \mathfrak{n}, \\ P_K(\mathfrak{n}) &= \langle \alpha\mathcal{O}_K \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K \text{ such that } \alpha\mathcal{O}_K \text{ is prime to } \mathfrak{n} \rangle \quad (\subseteq I_K(\mathfrak{n})), \\ P_{K,1}(\mathfrak{n}) &= \langle \alpha\mathcal{O}_K \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K \text{ such that } \alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{n}} \rangle \quad (\subseteq P_K(\mathfrak{n})). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathrm{Gal}(K_{\mathfrak{n}}/K) \simeq I_K(\mathfrak{n})/P_{K,1}(\mathfrak{n})$ and $\mathrm{Gal}(H_K/K) \simeq I_K(\mathfrak{n})/P_K(\mathfrak{n})$ ([2, Chapters IV and V]), we get

$$\mathrm{Gal}(K_{\mathfrak{n}}/H_K) \simeq P_K(\mathfrak{n})/P_{K,1}(\mathfrak{n}). \tag{9}$$

Assume that a class D in $P_K(\mathfrak{n})/P_{K,1}(\mathfrak{n})$ leaves $f_{\mathfrak{n}}(C_0)$ fixed via the Artin reciprocity law. Here, we may assume that $D = [\alpha\mathcal{O}_K]$ for some $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\alpha\mathcal{O}_K$ is prime to $\mathfrak{n}$, since $P_K(\mathfrak{n})/P_{K,1}(\mathfrak{n})$ is a finite group. Take $\mathfrak{c} = \mathcal{O}_K \in C_0$ and let

$$\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{c}^{-1} = \mathfrak{n} = [\omega_1, \omega_2] \quad \text{for some } \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C} \text{ with } \omega = \omega_1/\omega_2 \in \mathbb{H}, \tag{10}$$

$$1 = (a/N)\omega_1 + (b/N)\omega_2 \quad \text{for some } a, b \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{11}$$

We then have

$$\mathfrak{n}(\alpha\mathcal{O}_K)^{-1} = [\omega_1\alpha^{-1}, \omega_2\alpha^{-1}], \tag{12}$$

$$1 = (r/N)(\omega_1\alpha^{-1}) + (s/N)(\omega_2\alpha^{-1}) \quad \text{for some } r, s \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{13}$$

Now we attain that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathfrak{n}}(C_0) &= f_{\begin{bmatrix} a/N \\ b/N \end{bmatrix}}(\omega) \quad \text{by (10), (11) and the definition (8)} \\ &= f_{\mathfrak{n}}(C_0)^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{n}}(D)} \\ &= f_{\mathfrak{n}}(D) \quad \text{by Proposition 3.1 and the fact that } C_0 \text{ is the identity class of } \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{n}) \\ &= f_{\begin{bmatrix} r/N \\ s/N \end{bmatrix}}(\omega_1\alpha^{-1}/\omega_2\alpha^{-1}) \quad \text{by (12), (13) and the definition (8)} \\ &= f_{\begin{bmatrix} r/N \\ s/N \end{bmatrix}}(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Note that since K is different from $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, we get $g_2(\omega), g_3(\omega) \neq 0$ ([4, Chapter 3, Theorem3]). Thus we obtain by the definition (2) and Lemma 2.1 that

$$(a/N)\omega + b/N \equiv \pm((r/N)\omega + s/N) \pmod{[\omega, 1]}.$$

It then follows that

$$(a/N)\omega_1 + (b/N)\omega_2 \equiv \pm((r/N)\omega_1 + (s/N)\omega_2) \pmod{[\omega_1, \omega_2]},$$

and hence

$$1 \equiv \pm\alpha \pmod{\mathfrak{n}}$$

by (11), (13) and (10). This shows that the class $D = [\alpha\mathcal{O}_K]$ gives rise to the identity of $\mathrm{Gal}(K_\mathfrak{n}/H_K)$ via the Artin reciprocity map by (9). Therefore, we conclude by Galois theory that $f_\mathfrak{n}(C_0)$ generates $K_\mathfrak{n}$ over H_K. □

4 Siegel-Ramachandra invariants

Let K be an imaginary quadratic field other than $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$. Let d_K be its discriminant and set

$$\tau_K = \begin{cases} (-1+\sqrt{d_K})/2 & \text{if } d_K \equiv 1 \pmod 4, \\ \sqrt{d_K}/2 & \text{if } d_K \equiv 0 \pmod 4 \end{cases}$$

so that $\tau_K \in \mathbb{H}$ and $\mathcal{O}_K = [\tau_K, 1]$. Then, as is well known, the special value $j(\tau_K)$ generates H_K over K ([4, Chapter 10, Theorem 1]). Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be a proper nontrivial ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$, N (≥ 2) be the smallest positive integer in $\mathfrak{n}$ and $C \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{n})$. We call the Fricke invariant $g_\mathfrak{n}^{12N}(C)$ the *Siegel-Ramachandra invariant* modulo $\mathfrak{n}$ at C ([5]). We further let

$$d_N(\tau) = \mathrm{disc}(g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^{12N}, \mathcal{F}_1).$$

THEOREM 4.1. *If the special value $d_N(\tau_K)$ is nonzero, then $g_\mathfrak{n}^{12N}(C)$ generates $K_\mathfrak{n}$ over H_K.*

PROOF. As in the proof of Theorem 3.2 we let $C = C_0$ (the identity class of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{n})$) and

$$f_\mathfrak{n}(C_0) = f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}a/N\\b/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\omega) \quad \text{and} \quad g_\mathfrak{n}^{12N}(C_0) = g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}a/N\\b/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\omega)^{12N} \quad \text{for some } \begin{bmatrix}a/N\\b/N\end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_N \text{ and } \omega \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Since $d_N(\tau)$ is weakly holomorphic by Remark 2.6, Proposition 2.4 and (F1), we get by Lemma 2.5(i) that

$$d_N(\tau) = d(j(\tau)) \quad \text{for some polynomial } d(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]. \tag{14}$$

Then we have $d_N(\tau_K) = d(j(\tau_K)) \neq 0$ by assumption, and hence $d(j(\omega)) \neq 0$ because $j(\omega)$ is a Galois conjugate of $j(\tau_K)$ over K ([4, Chapter 10, Theorem 1]).

Now, take an element $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I_2\} \simeq \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_N/\mathcal{F}_1)$ such that $\gamma \equiv \pm \begin{bmatrix}*&*\\a&b\end{bmatrix} \pmod N$. We then derive that

$$\begin{aligned} f_\mathfrak{n}(C_0) &= f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}a/N\\b/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\omega) \\ &= f_{t_\gamma\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\omega) \quad \text{by Proposition 2.2 and (F2)} \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= (f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau))^{\gamma}(\omega) \quad \text{by (F3)}\\
&= (p(j(\tau), g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^{12N})/d(j(\tau)))^{\gamma}(\omega)\\
&\quad \text{for some polynomial } p(X,Y) \in \mathbb{Q}[X,Y] \text{ by Lemma 2.5(iii)}\\
&= (p(j(\tau), (g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^{12N})^{\gamma})/d(j(\tau)))(\omega) \quad \text{because } \gamma \text{ fixes } j(\tau) \in \mathcal{F}_1\\
&= (p(j(\tau), (g_{t_\gamma\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^{12N}))/d(j(\tau)))(\omega) \quad \text{by Proposition 2.4, (F2) and (F3)}\\
&= (p(j(\tau), g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}a/N\\b/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^{12N})/d(j(\tau)))(\omega)\\
&= (p(j(\omega), g_{\mathfrak{n}}^{12N}(C_0))/d(j(\omega)).
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we achieve that

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{\mathfrak{n}} &= H_K(f_{\mathfrak{n}}(C_0)) \quad \text{by Theorem 3.2}\\
&= H_K((p(j(\omega), g_{\mathfrak{n}}^{12N}(C_0))/d(j(\omega)))\\
&\subseteq H_K(j(\omega), g_{\mathfrak{n}}^{12N}(C_0)) \quad \text{since } d(j(\omega)) \neq 0\\
&= H_K(g_{\mathfrak{n}}^{12N}(C_0)) \quad \text{because } H_K = K(j(\omega))\\
&\subseteq K_{\mathfrak{n}} \quad \text{by Proposition 3.1.}
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves $K_{\mathfrak{n}} = H_K(g_{\mathfrak{n}}^{12N}(C_0))$, as desired. □

REMARK 4.2. We conjecture that $d_N(\tau_K) \neq 0$ for all integers $N \geq 2$ and all imaginary quadratic fields K other than $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$.

COROLLARY 4.3. *Let h_K be the class number of K and $\ell_N = [\mathcal{F}_1(g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^{12N}) : \mathcal{F}_1]$. If $h_K > N\ell_N(\ell_N - 1)/2$, then $g_{\mathfrak{n}}^{12N}(C)$ generates $K_{\mathfrak{n}}$ over H_K.*

PROOF. Letting $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_{\ell_N}$ be all the zeros of the polynomial $\min(g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^{12N}, \mathcal{F}_1) \in \mathcal{F}_1[X]$ we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ord}_q(d_N(\tau)) &= \mathrm{ord}_q\Big(\prod_{1\leq k_1<k_2\leq \ell_N} (g_{k_1} - g_{k_2})^2\Big)\\
&= 2\sum_{1\leq k_1<k_2\leq \ell_N} \mathrm{ord}_q(g_{k_1} - g_{k_2})\\
&\geq 2\sum_{1\leq k_1<k_2\leq \ell_N} \min\{\mathrm{ord}_q(g_{k_1}), \mathrm{ord}_q(g_{k_2})\}\\
&\geq 2\sum_{1\leq k_1<k_2\leq \ell_N} 6N\mathbf{B}_2(1/2)\\
&\quad \text{by Remark 2.6, (4) and the shape of the graph } Y = \mathbf{B}_2(X)\\
&= -N\ell_N(\ell_N - 1)/2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $d(X)$ be the polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ given in (14). Since $\mathrm{ord}_q(j(\tau)) = -1$, we attain

$$\deg(d(X)) \leq N\ell_N(\ell_N - 1)/2.$$

Now, the assumption $h_K = \deg(\min(j(\tau_K), K)) > N\ell_N(\ell_N - 1)/2$ implies that

$$d_N(\tau_K) = d(j(\tau_K)) \neq 0.$$

Thus the result follows from Theorem 4.1. □

5 Shimura's reciprocity law

Let K be an imaginary quadratic field other than $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$. For a positive integer N, let $\mathfrak{n} = N\mathcal{O}_K$ and

$$\mathcal{F}_{N,K} = \{h(\tau) \in \mathcal{F}_N \mid h(\tau) \text{ is finite at } \tau_K\}.$$

As a consequence of the theory of complex multiplication we obtain the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *We have* $K_{\mathfrak{n}} = K(h(\tau_K) \mid h(\tau) \in \mathcal{F}_{N,K})$.

PROOF. See [4, Chapter 10, Corollary to Theorem 2]. □

PROPOSITION 5.2 (Shimura's reciprocity law). *Let* $\min(\tau_K, \mathbb{Q}) = X^2 + bX + c \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. *The matrix group*

$$\mathcal{W}_{N,K} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} t - bs & -cs \\ s & t \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \mid t, s \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

gives rise to the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{N,K}/\{\pm I_2\} &\to \mathrm{Gal}(K_{\mathfrak{n}}/H_K) \\ \alpha &\mapsto h(\tau_K) \mapsto h(\tau)^{\alpha}(\tau_K),\ h(\tau) \in \mathcal{F}_{N,K}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. See [9, §3]. □

REMARK 5.3. Let $x = s\tau_K + t \in \mathcal{O}_K$ with $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $x\mathcal{O}_K$ is relatively prime to $\mathfrak{n}$, then the class $[x\mathcal{O}_K]$ in $P_K(\mathfrak{n})/P_{K,1}(\mathfrak{n})$ corresponds to the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} t - bs & -cs \\ s & t \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{W}_{N,K}/\{\pm I_2\}$ ([4, Chapter 11, §1] and [9]).

LEMMA 5.4. *Assume that* $N \equiv 0 \pmod 4$. *We have*

$$g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2+1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)^{12N} / g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)^{12N} = g_{\mathfrak{n}}^{12N}(C)/g_{\mathfrak{n}}^{12N}(C_0),$$

where $C = [((N/2)\tau_K + N/2 + 1)\mathcal{O}_K]$, $C_0 = [\mathcal{O}_K] \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{n})$. *This value is a unit in* $K_{\mathfrak{n}}$.

PROOF. If we take $\mathfrak{c} = \mathcal{O}_K \in C_0$, then we have

$$\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{c}^{-1} = \mathfrak{n} = [N\tau_K, N] \quad \text{and} \quad 1 = 0(N\tau_K) + (1/N)N.$$

So we get by the definition (8)

$$g_{\mathfrak{n}}^{12N}(C_0) = g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)^{12N}. \tag{15}$$

By Remark 5.3, the class $C = [((N/2)\tau_K + N/2 + 1)\mathcal{O}_K] \in P_K(\mathfrak{n})/P_{K,1}(\mathfrak{n})$ corresponds to

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & ((1-d_K)/4)(N/2) \\ N/2 & N/2+1 \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } d_K \equiv 1 \pmod 4, \\ \begin{bmatrix} N/2+1 & (d_K/4)(N/2) \\ N/2 & N/2+1 \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } d_K \equiv 0 \pmod 4 \end{cases}$$

in $\mathcal{W}_{N,K}/\{\pm I_2\}$. We then deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mathfrak{n}}^{12N}(C)/g_{\mathfrak{n}}^{12N}(C_0) &= g_{\mathfrak{n}}^{12N}(C_0)^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{n}}(C)}/g_{\mathfrak{n}}^{12N}(C_0) \quad \text{by Proposition 3.1} \\ &= (g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)^{12N})^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{n}}(C)}/g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)^{12N} \quad \text{by (15)} \\ &= (g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^{12N})^{\alpha}(\tau_K)/g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)^{12N} \quad \text{by Proposition 5.2} \\ &= g_{t_\alpha\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^{12N}(\tau_K)/g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)^{12N} \quad \text{by Proposition 2.4, (F2) and (F3)} \\ &= g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2+1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)^{12N}/g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)^{12N}. \end{aligned}$$

This is a unit in $K_{\mathfrak{n}}$ by Proposition 3.1. □

6 Invariants with small exponents

Let K be an imaginary quadratic field of discriminant d_K. For a positive integer N, let $\mathfrak{n} = N\mathcal{O}_K$ Throughout this section we assume that

(i) $N \geq 4$ and $N \equiv 0 \pmod 2$,

(ii) $|d_K| \geq 4N^{4/3}$ (> 25) and $d_K \equiv 0 \pmod 4$,

LEMMA 6.1. *Let* $\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} a/N \\ b/N \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{V}_N$.

(i) *If* $\mathbf{v} \not\equiv \pm \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{bmatrix} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^2}$, *then we have* $|g_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau_K)| > |g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)|$.

(ii) *We also get* $|g_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau_K)| \leq |g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2+1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)|$.

PROOF. Since $g_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)^{12N}$ depends only on $\pm\mathbf{v} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ by Proposition 2.4 and (F2), we may assume that $0 \leq a/N \leq 1/2$ and $0 \leq b/N < 1$. Now that $d_K \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, we have $\tau_K = \sqrt{d_K}/2$. We obtain by (3) that

$$\begin{aligned} |g_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau_K)|^2 &= A^{\mathbf{B}_2(a/N)}(1 - 2\cos(2\pi b/N)A^{a/N} + A^{2a/N}) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\{(1 - 2\cos(2\pi b/N)A^{n+a/N} + A^{2(n+a/N)}) \\ &\quad \times (1 - 2\cos(2\pi b/N)A^{n-a/N} + A^{2(n-a/N)})\}, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $A = e^{-\pi\sqrt{|d_K|}}$ $(< e^{-5\pi})$.

(i) If $a/N = 0$, then the assumption $\mathbf{v} \not\equiv \pm \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{bmatrix} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ yields $2/N \le b/N \le (N-2)/N$. We then obtain by (16) and the shape of the graph $Y = \cos X$ that

$$|g_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau_K)| > |g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)|.$$

Now, let $1/N \le a/N \le 1/2$. We find by (16) and the shape of the graph $Y = \mathbf{B}_2(X)$ that

$$|g_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau_K)| \ge |g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a/N \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)|. \tag{17}$$

Furthermore, we derive by (16) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{|g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)|}{|g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a/N \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)|} &\le \frac{A^{(1/2)\mathbf{B}_2(0)} 2\sin(\pi/N)\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+A^{2n})}{A^{(1/2)\mathbf{B}_2(a/N)}(1-A^{a/N})\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-A^{n+a/N})(1-A^{n-a/N})} \\
&\quad \text{since } \cos(2\pi/N) \ge 0 \text{ for } N \ge 4 \\
&\le \frac{2\sin(\pi/N)A^{(1/2)\mathbf{B}_2(0)}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+A^{n/4})}{A^{(1/2)\mathbf{B}_2(1/N)}(1-A^{1/N})\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-A^{n/2})^2} \\
&\quad \text{by the shape of the graph } Y = \mathbf{B}_2(X) \text{ and the fact } 1/N \le a/N \le 1/2 \\
&\le \frac{2\sin(\pi/N)A^{(1/2)(\mathbf{B}_2(0)-\mathbf{B}_2(1/N))}}{(1-A^{1/N})}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+A^{n/4})^3 \\
&\quad \text{by the inequality } (1-A^{n/2})(1+A^{n/4}) > 1 \text{ due to } A < e^{-5\pi} \\
&\le \frac{2\sin(\pi/N)A^{(1/2N)(1-1/N)}}{(1-A^{1/N})}e^{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}3A^{n/4}} \quad \text{by the fact } 1+X < e^X \text{ for } X > 0 \\
&\le \frac{2\sin(\pi/N)e^{-\pi N^{-1/3}(1-1/N)}}{(1-e^{-2\pi N^{-1/3}})}e^{3A^{1/4}/(1-A^{1/4})} \quad \text{by the assumption } |d_K| \ge 4N^{4/3} \\
&< 0.4e^{3e^{-5\pi/4}/(1-e^{-5\pi/4})} \quad \text{by considering the graph of } Y = \frac{2\sin(\pi/X)e^{-\pi X^{-1/3}(1-1/X)}}{(1-e^{-2\pi X^{-1/3}})} \\
&\quad \text{at } X \ge 4 \text{ and the fact } A < e^{-5\pi} \\
&< 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we attain by (17) that

$$|g_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau_K)| > |g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)|.$$

(ii) Considering the shape of the graphs $Y = \mathbf{B}_2(X)$ and $Y = \cos X$ and the fact $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}_N$, we deduce that

$$|g_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau_K)| \le \max\{|g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1/2-1/N \\ 1/2 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)|, |g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2+1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)|\}.$$

So it suffices to show

$$|g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1/2-1/N \\ 1/2 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)| \le |g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2+1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)|$$

in order to prove $|g_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau_K)| \le |g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1/2\\1/2+1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)|$. Now, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{|g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1/2-1/N\\1/2\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)|}{|g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1/2\\1/2+1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)|} &\le \frac{A^{(1/2)\mathbf{B}_2(1/2-1/N)}(1+A^{1/2-1/N})\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+A^{n+1/2-1/N})(1+A^{n-1/2+1/N})}{A^{(1/2)\mathbf{B}_2(1/2)}} \\
&\quad \text{by (16) and the fact } \cos(2\pi/N)\ge 0 \text{ for } N\ge 4 \\
&\le A^{1/2N^2}(1+A^{1/4})\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+A^{n+1/4})(1+A^{n-1/2}) \quad \text{because } A<1 \text{ and } N\ge 4 \\
&\le A^{1/2N^2}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+A^{n/4}) \\
&\le A^{1/2N^2}e^{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}A^{n/4}} \quad \text{due to the fact } 1+X<e^X \text{ for all } X>0 \\
&\le e^{-\pi/N^{4/3}}e^{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}e^{-(\pi N^{2/3}/2)n}} \quad \text{since } |d_K|\ge 4N^{4/3} \\
&= e^{-\pi/N^{4/3}+e^{-\pi N^{2/3}/2}/(1-e^{-\pi N^{2/3}/2})} \\
&< e^{-\pi/N^{4/3}+8/\pi^2N^{4/3}(1-e^{-\pi N^{2/3}/2})} \quad \text{because } e^{-X}<2X^{-2} \text{ for all } X>0 \\
&= e^{(\pi/N^{4/3})(-1+8/\pi^3(1-e^{-\pi N^{2/3}/2}))} \\
&\le e^{(\pi/N^{4/3})(-1+8/\pi^3(1-e^{-\pi 2^{1/3}}))} \quad \text{owing to the fact } N\ge 4 \\
&< 1.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (ii). □

THEOREM 6.2. *The special value*

$$\zeta_{2N}^{-4/\gcd(4,N)}(g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1/2\\1/2+1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)/g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K))^{8/\gcd(4,N)} \tag{18}$$

generates $K_{\mathfrak{n}}$ over H_K. Moreover, if $N\equiv 0 \pmod 4$, then it is a $6N$-th root of the unit $g_{\mathfrak{n}}^{12N}(C)/g_{\mathfrak{n}}^{12N}(C_0)$, where $C=[((N/2)\tau_K+N/2+1)\mathcal{O}_K]$ and $C_0=[\mathcal{O}_K]$.

PROOF. Since $\zeta_{2N}^{-4/\gcd(4,N)}(g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1/2\\1/2+1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)/g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau))^{8/\gcd(4,N)}$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}_N$ by Lemma 2.3, its special value at τ_K lies in $K_{\mathfrak{n}}$ by Proposition 5.1. Let $\sigma\in \mathrm{Gal}(K_{\mathfrak{n}}/H_K)$ such that $\sigma\neq \mathrm{id}$. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(\frac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1/2\\1/2+1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)^{12N}}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)^{12N}}\right)^{\sigma}\right| &= \left|\frac{(g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1/2\\1/2+1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)^{12N})^{\sigma}}{(g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)^{12N})^{\sigma}}\right| \quad \text{by Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 5.1} \\
&= \left|\frac{(g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1/2\\1/2+1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^{12N})^{\alpha}(\tau_K)}{(g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^{12N})^{\alpha}(\tau_K)}\right| \\
&\quad \text{for some } \alpha\in \mathcal{W}_{N,K}/\{\pm I_2\} \text{ by Proposition 5.2} \\
&= \left|\frac{g_{\mathbf{u}}(\tau_K)^{12N}}{g_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau_K)^{12N}}\right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{for some } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}_N \text{ by Proposition 2.4, (F2) and (F3)}$$

$$< \left| \frac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2+1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)^{12N}}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)^{12N}} \right| \quad \text{by Lemma 6.1.}$$

This implies that the value in (18) generates $K_{\mathfrak{n}}$ over H_K. The second part of the theorem follows from Lemma 5.4. □

REMARK 6.3. (i) Suppose that N is not a power of 2, and so N has at least two prime factors. Then, both $g_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)^{12N}$ and $g_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)^{-12N}$ are integral over $\mathbb{Z}[j(\tau)]$ for any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}_N$ ([3, Chapter 2, Theorem 2.2]). Moreover, since $j(\tau_K)$ is an algebraic integer ([7, Theorem 4.14]), we see that $g_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau_K)^{12N}$ is a unit. Therefore, the invariant in (18) is a unit.

(ii) For any $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that $\mathbf{u} \not\equiv \pm\mathbf{v} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ we have the relation

$$\wp_{\mathbf{u}}(\tau) - \wp_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau) = -(g_{\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v}}(\tau) g_{\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{v}}(\tau) / g_{\mathbf{u}}(\tau)^2 g_{\mathbf{v}}(\tau)^2) \eta(\tau)^4$$

([3, p.51]). This relation together with (2) and (3) yields

$$\frac{f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K) - f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)}{f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/2 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K) - f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)} = -\zeta_{2N} \frac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2+1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)^2}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)^2} \cdot \zeta_4^3 \frac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/2 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)^2}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1/2 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)^2}. \tag{19}$$

Since $f_{\mathfrak{n}}(C_0) = f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)$ generates $K_{\mathfrak{n}}$ over H_K by Theorem 3.2, and $f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)$ and $f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/2 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)$ lie in $K_{2\mathcal{O}_K}$ by Propositions 2.2 and 5.1, the value in the left side of (19) generates $K_{\mathfrak{n}}$ over $K_{2\mathcal{O}_K}$. Now, assume that $N \equiv 0 \pmod 4$. Since $\zeta_4^3 g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/2 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)^2 / g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1/2 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)^2$ belongs to $K_{4\mathcal{O}_K}$ by Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 5.1, the value

$$\zeta_{2N} g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2+1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)^2 / g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau_K)^2$$

generates $K_{\mathfrak{n}}$ over $K_{4\mathcal{O}_K}$.

EXAMPLE 6.4. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-10})$ and $\mathfrak{n} = 4\mathcal{O}_K$. Consider the special value

$$x = \zeta_8^7 g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1/2 \\ 3/4 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\sqrt{-10})^2 / g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/4 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\sqrt{-10})^2.$$

This value generates $K_{\mathfrak{n}}$ over H_K as an algebraic unit by Theorem 6.2. Furthermore, since x is a real number by (3), we see that

$$[K(x) : K] = [K(x) : \mathbb{Q}]/[K : \mathbb{Q}] = [K(x) : \mathbb{Q}(x)][\mathbb{Q}(x) : \mathbb{Q}]/[K : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(x) : \mathbb{Q}].$$

Hence the minimal polynomial of x over K has integer coefficients. By using Proposition 5.2, [9] and [3, Chapter 2, §1] one can readily find all the Galois conjugates of x over K (possibly

with some multiplicity) as follows:

$$x_1 = \zeta_8^7 g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1/2\\3/4\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\sqrt{-10})^2/g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/4\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\sqrt{-10})^2, \qquad x_2 = \zeta_8^5 g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1/4\\3/4\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\sqrt{-10})^2/g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1/4\\1/4\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\sqrt{-10})^2,$$
$$x_3 = \zeta_8^3 g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\3/4\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\sqrt{-10})^2/g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1/2\\1/4\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\sqrt{-10})^2, \qquad x_4 = \zeta_8^7 g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}3/4\\3/4\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\sqrt{-10})^2/g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}3/4\\1/4\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\sqrt{-10})^2,$$
$$x_5 = \zeta_8 g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}3/4\\1/2\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\sqrt{-10}/2)^2/g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1/4\\0\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\sqrt{-10}/2)^2, \quad x_6 = \zeta_8 g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}3/4\\3/4\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\sqrt{-10}/2)^2/g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1/4\\3/4\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\sqrt{-10}/2)^2,$$
$$x_7 = \zeta_8^5 g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}3/4\\0\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\sqrt{-10}/2)^2/g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1/4\\1/2\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\sqrt{-10}/2)^2, \quad x_8 = \zeta_8^3 g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}3/4\\1/4\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\sqrt{-10}/2)^2/g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1/4\\1/4\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\sqrt{-10}/2)^2.$$

And, one can also compute (by using MAPLE Ver.16) $\min(x, K)$ as

$$\prod_{k=1}^{8}(X - x_k) = X^8 - 72X^7 + 12X^6 + 72X^5 + 38X^4 + 72X^3 + 12X^2 - 72X + 1,$$

which is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$. Therefore, x generates $K_{\mathfrak{n}}$ even over K as a unit. Here, we observe that the coefficients of $\min(x, K)$ are much smaller than those of

$$\begin{aligned}
&\min(g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/4\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\sqrt{-10})^{48}, K)\\
= \;& X^8 - 181195540256817728X^7 - 577566311456260690611 2X^6\\
&-270354646916373774573608 96X^5 + 541339076030741096821545656320X^4\\
&-124937615343087944795342556102656X^3 + 156619184734352277132318185598484 48X^2\\
&-32831816404527400323644148540243968X + 16777216.
\end{aligned}$$

References

[1] B. Cho, *Siegel-Ramachandra invariants generate ray class fields*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 401 (2013), no. 1, 293–297.

[2] G. J. Janusz, *Algebraic Number fields*, 2nd edn, Grad. Studies in Math. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

[3] D. Kubert and S. Lang, *Modular Units*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 244, Spinger-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1981.

[4] S. Lang, *Elliptic Functions*, 2nd edn, Grad. Texts in Math. 112, Spinger-Verlag, New York, 1987.

[5] K. Ramachandra, *Some applications of Kronecker's limit formula*, Ann. of Math. (2) 80 (1964), 104–148.

[6] R. Schertz, *Construction of ray class fields by elliptic units*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux 9 (1997), no. 2, 383–394.

[7] G. Shimura, *Introduction to the Arithmetic Theory of Automorphic Functions*, Iwanami Shoten and Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1971.

[8] J. H. Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Grad. Texts in Math. 106, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.

[9] P. Stevenhagen, *Hilbert's 12th problem, complex multiplication and Shimura reciprocity*, Class field theory-its centenary and prospect (Tokyo, 1998), 161–176, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 30, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2001.

National Institute for Mathematical Sciences
Daejeon 305-811
Republic of Korea

E-mail address: hoyunjung@nims.re.kr

Department of Mathematical Sciences
KAIST
Daejeon 305-701
Republic of Korea

E-mail address: jkkoo@math.kaist.ac.kr

Department of Mathematics
Hankuk University of Foreign Studies
Yongin-si, Gyeonggi-do 449-791
Republic of Korea

E-mail address: dhshin@hufs.ac.kr